

The Character Table of the Nonabelian Group of Order pq

Gaurav Goel

December 2020

This paper is written in fulfillment of the requirements of the Harvard Math Department Directed Reading Project offered in the Fall 2020 semester. My supervisor was Jianqiao Xia, and the project involved reading about the representation theory of finite groups from Fulton and Harris [1].

Abstract

In this paper, I discuss the representation theory, and fill out the character table, of the unique nonabelian group of order pq for primes $p < q$ with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. The first section of the paper recalls the basic properties of semidirect products, and then classifies all groups of order pq for primes $p < q$, proving that there are exactly two isomorphism classes—one $\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ and, only when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, one nonabelian semidirect product group $G = \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then, I give two concrete ways to realize G : as a subgroup of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_q and as an index- $(q-1)/p$ subgroup of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1\mathbb{F}_q)$, the group of affine transformations of the affine line over the field with q elements of order $q(q-1)$. Next, I discuss the conjugacy structure of G , and finally, I fill out the character table of G , using tools from Chapters 1 to 3 of [1], specifically induced representations and Frobenius reciprocity. This was an enlightening computation for me, because this was what really made the representation theory of finite groups “click” for me.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Two Realizations of G	3
3	Conjugacy Structure	4
4	Character Table	4
5	Induction and Conclusion	6

1 Introduction

We begin by recalling basic properties of the semidirect product, as in [2] §5.5. Let H and K be groups and $\Psi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$, $k \mapsto \Psi_k$ be a group homomorphism. Then the *semidirect product group* $H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$ is defined to be the set $\{(h, k) : h \in H, k \in K\}$ with law of composition

$$(h, k) \cdot (h', k') = (h\Psi_k(h'), kk').$$

This law of composition makes $H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$ a group with identity $e = (e_H, e_K)$ and inverses given by $(h, k)^{-1} = (\Psi_{k^{-1}}(h^{-1}), k^{-1})$. The maps $H \rightarrow H \rtimes_{\Psi} K : h \mapsto (h, e_K)$ and $K \rightarrow H \rtimes_{\Psi} K : k \mapsto (e_H, k)$ are injective homomorphisms, allowing us to identify H and K as subgroups $H, K \leq H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$. Further, under this identification, we have that

- (1) $H \trianglelefteq H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$,
- (2) $H \cap K = \{e\}$,
- (3) $|H \rtimes_{\Psi} K| = |H| \cdot |K|$,
- (4) for any $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ we have that $khk^{-1} = \Psi_k(h) \in H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$,
- (5) there is a split short exact sequence $\{e\} \rightarrow H \rightarrow H \rtimes_{\Psi} K \rightarrow K \rightarrow \{e\}$, and conversely every split short exact sequence $\{e\} \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow K \rightarrow \{e\}$ identifies $G \cong H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$ for some $\Psi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$.

This is actually a generalization of the direct product:

Lemma 1. *For a semidirect product $H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The identity set map $H \times K \rightarrow H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$ is a group homomorphism (and hence an isomorphism).*
- (2) *The map $\Psi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ is trivial.*
- (3) *The subgroup $K \trianglelefteq H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$ is normal.*

If different nontrivial Ψ give the same group up to isomorphism, then it is denoted by $H \rtimes K$. We identify semidirect products in practice using the Recognition Theorem.

Theorem 1 (Recognition Theorem). *Suppose G is a finite group with subgroups $H, K \leq G$ s.t.*

- (1) $H \trianglelefteq G$,
- (2) $H \cap K = \{e\}$, and
- (3) $|G| = |H| \cdot |K|$.

Then G is the semidirect product of H and K . If $\Psi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ is given by $k \mapsto (h \mapsto khk^{-1})$, then we have $G \cong H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$.

Proof Sketch. Consider the set map $H \rtimes_{\Psi} K \rightarrow G : (h, k) \mapsto hk$. It is immediate to see that this is a homomorphism. Condition (2) tells us that this map is injective, and then condition (3) tells us that it is an isomorphism. ■

Using the above recognition theorem and some Sylow theory, it is possible to classify all groups of order pq for primes $p < q$.

Theorem 2 (Classification of Groups of Order pq). *Suppose G is a finite group of order $|G| = pq$ for distinct primes $p < q$. Then*

- (1) *if $p \nmid q - 1$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic,*
- (2) *if $p \mid q - 1$, then either $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z}$ is cyclic, or it is the unique nontrivial semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let G be a group of order pq for primes $p < q$. Let s_p and s_q denote the number of Sylow p -subgroups and Sylow q -subgroups of G respectively. Sylow's Third Theorem tells us that

$$s_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \text{ and } s_q \mid p.$$

This is only possible if $s_q = 1$. This tells us that there is a unique (and hence normal) subgroup $H \trianglelefteq G$ of order q . Again, Sylow's Third Theorem tells us that

$$s_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \text{ and } s_p \mid q.$$

Therefore, either $s_p = 1$ or $s_p = q$.

- (1) If $s_p = 1$, then G also has a unique normal subgroup $K \triangleleft G$ of order p . Since $(p, q) = 1$, we must have $H \cap K = \{e\}$. Then H and K satisfy hypotheses of Theorem 1 and Lemma 1, so that $G \cong H \times K \cong \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) If $s_p = q$, then we must have $p \mid q - 1$. Let K be any Sylow p -subgroup. Then Theorem 1 tells us that $G \cong H \rtimes_{\Psi} K$ for some homomorphism $\Psi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$. To analyze such Ψ , pick generators $H = \langle a \rangle$ with $|a| = q$ and $K = \langle b \rangle$ with $|b| = p$. Now the map $H \rtimes_{\Psi} K \rightarrow G : (h, k) \mapsto hk$ is an isomorphism, so every element of G can be written as $a^i b^j$ for some $0 \leq i \leq q - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq p - 1$. The conjugate $bab^{-1} \in H$, so that $bab^{-1} = a^{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$. If $\lambda = 1$, then a and b commute, so that we have $G \cong H \times K$, which would imply $s_p = 1$, which is not the case we are in. Therefore, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \setminus \{1\}$. Then we must have

$$a = b^p a b^{-p} = a^{\lambda^p} \Rightarrow \lambda^p = 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times},$$

which means that $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ has order exactly p . This completely determines the Cayley table, so that we get that G has presentation

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^q = b^p = e, bab^{-1} = a^{\lambda} \rangle.$$

To see that a different choice of λ would not change the isomorphism type: pick any other element say $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ of order p . Since the subgroup of elements of \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} of order dividing p is cyclic, we get that $\mu = \lambda^k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$. Then picking the generator $c = b^k$ of K instead of b , we would get the presentation with $cac^{-1} = a^{\mu}$; and this tells us that the all different nontrivial Ψ give the same semidirect product. ■

The nontrivial semidirect product is given by $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(q - 1)\mathbb{Z}$ sending $\bar{1}$ to any element of order p in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} . This gives the group presentation

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^q = b^p = e, bab^{-1} = a^{\lambda} \rangle,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ has order p . One concrete way to get such a λ is to pick a primitive root $r \pmod{q}$ and then take $\lambda = r^{\frac{q-1}{p}}$.

Remark 1. For convenience, I henceforth use the letter t to denote $(q - 1)/p$, so that $q = pt + 1$, and we can choose $\lambda = r^t$.

2 Two Realizations of G

- (1) Firstly, G can be realized as a subgroup $G \leq \mathfrak{S}_q$ as follows. Since a has order q , we try $a \mapsto \sigma := (1, 2, 3, \dots, q)$. Then $bab^{-1} = a^{\lambda}$ forces us to send $b \mapsto \tau := (j \mapsto 1 + (j - 1)\lambda \pmod{q})$; note that τ is indeed a permutation with inverse $\tau^{-1} = (j \mapsto 1 + (j - 1)\lambda^{-1} \pmod{q})$. If we choose a primitive root $r \pmod{q}$ so that $\lambda = r^t$, then τ keeps 1 fixed, and decomposes into a product of t cycles of length p as follows

$$\tau = \prod_{j=0}^{t-1} (r^j + 1, r^{t+j} + 1, \dots, r^{(p-1)t+j} + 1).$$

This realizes G as a subgroup

$$G \cong \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \leq \mathfrak{S}_q.$$

- (2) Another way to realize G is as an index- t subgroup of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1 \mathbb{F}_q)$. Recall that for any field \mathbb{F} , the group

$$\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1 \mathbb{F}) = \{x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta : \mathbb{A}^1 \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \mathbb{F} \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \alpha \neq 0\}$$

is the group of affine transformations of the affine line over the field \mathbb{F} . It can also be realized as a subgroup $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1 \mathbb{F}) \leq \text{GL}_2 \mathbb{F}$ via $(x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. If \mathbb{F} is a finite field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$, then the order $|\text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1 \mathbb{F}_q)| = (q - 1)q$. Sitting inside it is the subgroup of affine transformations $x \mapsto \alpha x + \beta$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ of order p in \mathbb{F}_q^\times , i.e. satisfying $\alpha^p = 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Again, it is immediate to see that the map $G \rightarrow \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1\mathbb{F}_q)$ given by $a \mapsto (x \mapsto x + 1)$ and $b \mapsto (x \mapsto \lambda x)$ is an injective group homomorphism, realizing

$$G \leq \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1\mathbb{F}_q)$$

as a normal subgroup $G \trianglelefteq \text{Aff}(\mathbb{A}^1\mathbb{F}_q)$ with quotient cyclic of order t , generated by the class of $x \mapsto rx$ for any primitive root $r \pmod{q}$.

3 Conjugacy Structure

The next step is to find the conjugacy structure of the group $G = \langle a, b \mid a^q = b^p = e, bab^{-1} = a^\lambda \rangle$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ has order p . Recall that every element of G can be written uniquely as $a^i b^j$ for $0 \leq i \leq q - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq p - 1$.

- (1) Let's figure out the center $Z(G)$. Suppose $a^i b^j \in Z(G)$ for some $0 \leq i \leq q - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq p - 1$. Then

$$a^i b^j = a^{i+1} b^j a^{-1} = a^{i+1-\lambda^j} b^j,$$

so that $i \equiv i + 1 - \lambda^j \pmod{q} \Rightarrow \lambda^j \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \Rightarrow j = 0$ since λ has order p in \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Then

$$a^i = b a^i b^{-1} = a^{\lambda^i},$$

so that $i \equiv \lambda^i \pmod{q}$ and hence $i = 0$. This means that $Z(G) = \{e\}$, i.e. the center of G consists of the identity alone.

- (2) The class equation of G looks like

$$pq = 1 + mp + nq$$

for some integers m and n with $0 \leq m \leq q - 1$ and $0 \leq n \leq p - 1$. Reducing mod p , we get that $n \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, so that we must have $n = p - 1$. Then $m = t$. Therefore G has exactly $1 + m + n = p + t$ distinct conjugacy classes, and hence irreducible representations.

- (3) Let's find out the conjugacy classes. For that, pick a primitive root $r \pmod{q}$ so that $\lambda = r^t$. The relation $bab^{-1} = a^{r^t}$ tells us that conjugating by b (and also of course by a) doesn't change the congruence class of j modulo t for an element in G of the form a^{r^j} , so that the t conjugacy classes of order p are those of a^{r^j} for $0 \leq j \leq t - 1$. The conjugacy class of a^{r^j} comprises of $a^{r^j}, a^{r^{t+j}}, \dots, a^{r^{(p-1)t+j}}$. Again, the relations

$$a(a^i b^j) a^{-1} = a^{i+1-\lambda^j} b^j \text{ and } b(a^i b^j) b^{-1} = a^{\lambda^i} b^j,$$

tell us that conjugating by any element of G doesn't change the exponent of b in an element of G , so that the $p - 1$ classes of order q are those of b^i for $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$. Therefore, a complete set of representatives of conjugacy classes is given by

$$e, b, b^2, \dots, b^{p-1}, a, a^r, a^{r^2}, \dots, a^{r^{t-2}} \text{ and } a^{r^{t-1}}.$$

4 Character Table

Finally, let's fill in the character table of G . We expect there to be exactly $p + t$ distinct irreducible representations of G . We know the first one: the trivial representation. In fact, we know much better: the normal subgroup $H = \langle a \rangle \leq G$ has quotient $G/H \cong \langle b \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, which has p distinct 1-dimensional irreducible representations U_k for $0 \leq k \leq p - 1$, where b acts on U_i as ζ_p^k for $\zeta_p = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ a primitive p^{th} root of unity. Pulling these back to G gives p distinct 1-dimensional irreducible representations U_k of G ; further, these are all the 1-dimensional representations of G , since a given 1-dimensional representation must factor through the abelianization of G and hence be trivial on the classes of powers of a . Therefore, the character table looks so far like:

G $\langle a, b \mid a^q, b^p, bab^{-1} a^{-r^t} \rangle$	1 e	q b^i $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$	p a^{r^j} $0 \leq j \leq t - 1$
U_k $0 \leq k \leq p - 1$	1	ζ_p^{ki}	1

Observe that in these terms, the representation U_0 is the trivial representation of G .

We're now missing t representations, say V_0, \dots, V_{t-1} of dimensions $d_0, \dots, d_{t-1} \geq 2$, respectively. Then [1] Equation 2.19 p 17 gives

$$pq = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} 1^2 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} d_\ell^2 = p + \sum_{\ell=0}^{t-1} d_\ell^2,$$

where each $d_\ell \in \{p, q\}$ by [1] Problem 2.38 p. 25. Therefore, this equation looks like

$$pq = p + mp^2 + nq^2$$

for some integers $0 \leq m, n$ with $m + n = t$. Since $q > p$, we must have $n = 0$ and $m = t$. Therefore, $d_0 = d_1 = \dots = d_{t-1} = p$, i.e. all the remaining representations have dimension p . How do we nail these t representations down?

Let's first see if we can squeeze anything more out of the orthonormality of characters. For any $k : 0 \leq k \leq p-1$ and $\ell : 0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$, we have that

$$0 = \langle \chi_{U_k}, \chi_{V_\ell} \rangle = \frac{1}{pq} \left(p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-ik} \chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) + \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \chi_{V_\ell}(a^{r^j}) \right)$$

Summing over all k , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-ik} \chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) + \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \chi_{V_\ell}(a^{r^j}) \right) \\ &= p^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-ik} + p \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \chi_{V_\ell}(a^{r^j}) \\ &= p \left(p + \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \chi_{V_\ell}(a^{r^j}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

since the sum of all k^{th} roots of unity in any order is zero. This means that for each $k : 0 \leq k \leq p-1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-ik} \chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) = 0.$$

Fix a particular $j : 1 \leq j \leq p-1$. Multiplying the above equality by ζ_p^{jk} and summing over all k gives

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{jk} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-ik} \chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{(j-i)k} = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) \cdot p\delta_{ij} = p\chi_{V_\ell}(b^j),$$

so that in fact for each $\ell : 0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$ and $i : 0 \leq i \leq p-1$ we get that $\chi_{V_\ell}(b^i) = 0$. This is expected, because the character of any irreducible representation of dimension at least 2 takes the value 0 on some conjugacy class, from [1] Problem 2.39 p. 25. Therefore, the character table looks like:

G	1	q	p
$\langle a, b a^q, b^p, bab^{-1}a^{-r^t} \rangle$	e	b^i	a^{r^j}
		$1 \leq i \leq p-1$	$0 \leq j \leq t-1$
U_k	1	ζ_p^{ki}	1
$0 \leq k \leq p-1$			
V_ℓ	p	0	?
$0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$			

What replaces the question mark above?

5 Induction and Conclusion

The key to answering this question is induction of representations and Frobenius Reciprocity. Recall that we have a normal subgroup $H = \langle a \rangle \leq G$ of order q . This has exactly q distinct 1-dimensional irreducible representations W_s for $0 \leq s \leq q-1$, where a acts on W_s as ζ_q^s with $\zeta_q = \exp(2\pi i/q)$ a primitive q^{th} root of unity. For each $\ell : 0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$, the restriction $\text{Res}_H^G V_\ell$ is p -dimensional, and therefore decomposes as

$$\text{Res}_H^G V_\ell = W_{s_{\ell,1}} \oplus W_{s_{\ell,2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{s_{\ell,p}}$$

for integers $0 \leq s_{\ell,1} \leq s_{\ell,2} \leq \cdots \leq s_{\ell,p} \leq q-1$. Since the character of V_ℓ is not identically p , we must have that $1 \leq s_{\ell,p}$. Since $W_{s_{\ell,p}}$ occurs in $\text{Res}_H^G V_\ell$, Frobenius Reciprocity tells us that V_ℓ occurs in $\text{Ind}_H^G W_{s_{\ell,p}}$. But now

$$\dim V_\ell = p = |G/H| \dim W_{s_{\ell,p}} = \dim \text{Ind}_H^G W_{s_{\ell,p}},$$

so that in fact we must have $V_\ell = \text{Ind}_H^G W_{s_{\ell,p}}$. Hence, it suffices to analyze $\text{Ind}_H^G W_s$ for $1 \leq s \leq q-1$.

For any $s : 1 \leq s \leq q-1$, we compute the character $\chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G W_s}$. We know that it must take value 0 on the class of any power of b , so it suffices to analyze $\chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G W_s}(a^{r^j})$, for which we use [1] Equation (3.18) p. 34 to get

$$\chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G W_s}(a^{r^j}) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in G/H \\ a^{r^j} \sigma = \sigma}} \chi_{W_s}(g_\sigma^{-1} a^{r^j} g_\sigma),$$

where the sum is over cosets σ that are preserved under left multiplication by a^{r^j} , and $g_\sigma \in G$ is any representative of σ . Since *every* coset in G/H is preserved by left multiplication by any power of a , we in fact get that

$$\chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G W_s}(a^{r^j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \chi_{W_s}(b^i a^{r^j} b^{-i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \chi_{W_s}(a^{r^{it+j}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta_q^{sr^{it+j}}.$$

Since r is a primitive root, we must have $s = r^u$ for some $u : 0 \leq u \leq q-1$. Then, we see that

$$\chi_{\text{Ind}_H^G W_s}(a^{r^j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta_q^{r^{it+u+j}},$$

which depends only on the congruence class $u \pmod{t}$. Therefore, this gives us exactly t distinct values, as we expected, for the characters of $V_\ell : 0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$. WLOG, we may relabel these so that

$$\chi_{V_\ell}(a^{r^j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta_q^{r^{it+\ell+j}},$$

and with this, we complete the character table:

G $\langle a, b a^q, b^p, bab^{-1}a^{-r^t} \rangle$	1 e	q b^i $1 \leq i \leq p-1$	p a^{r^j} $0 \leq j \leq t-1$
U_k $0 \leq k \leq p-1$	1	ζ_p^{ki}	1
V_ℓ $0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$	p	0	$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta_q^{r^{it+\ell+j}}$

Remark 2. In the above calculation, we encounter Gauss's "periods" of roots of unity: the different $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta_q^{r^{it+\ell}}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq t-1$. In the special case when $p = 2$ and q is a Fermat prime $q = 2^{2^n} + 1$ for some $n \geq 0$, Gauss was able to use these "two-member periods" $\zeta_q^u + \zeta_q^{-u}$ for $0 \leq u \leq 2^{2^n-1} - 1$ to prove his famous constructability result for regular polygons, as explained in [3] Chapter 7. These periods have fascinating properties, especially related to the action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_q]/\mathbb{Q})$. For instance, the product of two such periods can be written as a sum of these, as explained in [3] §7.2. With our tools, we can recognize this statement following from the complete reducibility of the tensor product of two of the V_ℓ 's. This can be used to prove, for instance, that the unique quadratic subfield of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_q]$ is $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{q^*}]$, where $q^* = (-1)^{(q-1)/2}q$.

Remark 3. The above reasoning explains what the induced representations $\text{Ind}_H^G W_s$ for $1 \leq s \leq q-1$ look like. What about $s=0$? It is immediate to check using Frobenius Reciprocity that in fact,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G W_0 = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{p-1} U_k.$$

This completes our understanding of induction of representations from H to G .

Remark 4. In the above process, we noticed that for this case of $G = H \rtimes K$, the irreducible representations were of two kinds: those pulled back from the quotient $G \rightarrow K$ and those induced from the subgroup $H \hookrightarrow G$. Serre's "Linear Representations of Finite Groups" [4] §8.2 gives a vast generalization of the above method: it describes the method of "little groups" by Wigner and Mackey that can be used to classify all irreducible representations of groups of the form $G = H \rtimes_\psi K$ for $H \trianglelefteq G$ abelian, given that we know the representations of K .

References

- [1] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 2013.
- [2] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, *Abstract Algebra*. Wiley, third ed., 2018.
- [3] J. Bewersdorff, *Galois Theory for Beginners: A Historical Perspective*, vol. 35 of *Student Mathematical Library*. American Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [4] J. P. Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 1996.